



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/216,265	3/23/94	James T. Clark	2062,044522

EXAMINER	
D. Purol	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
3509	8

DATE MAILED:

EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY RECORD

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) David Purol (3) _____
(2) Wendi Weinstein (4) _____

Date of interview October 18, 1995

Type: ☐ Telephonic ☒ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☒ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description: _____

Agreement ☐ was reached with respect to some or all of the claims in question. ☒ was not reached.

Claims discussed: 1

Identification of prior art discussed: Heimberg, Amado, Scholten et al

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: A proposed amendment to claim 1 was discussed which attempts to clarify the sealing strip opening and its purpose.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

Unless the paragraphs below have been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (e.g., items 1-7 on the reverse side of this form). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, then applicant is given one month from this interview date to provide a statement of the substance of the interview.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

☐ Since the examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action.

David Purol
Examiner's Signature

PROPOSED CLAIM AMENDMENT

1. (Twice amended) A window covering comprising:

two panels having inner vertical edges which may be overlapped with each other, each said inner vertical edge including a hem, each said hem formed by folding over the edge and stitching along a vertical line so as [to leave a] to form a sealing strip channel [formed] between the hem and an opposing portion of the panel, the vertical stitching line of each said panel including at least one [gap] sealing strip opening formed [at] in at least one location along said stitching line so as to leave at least one opening into said channel; and

a removable magnetic sealing strip removably disposed within each of said channels [by insertion and removal] , said magnetic sealing strip capable of being repeatedly inserted and removed through said at least one opening;

wherein, when said panels are overlapped, said inner vertical edges may be secured to each other by said removable magnetic sealing strips.